

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SHERMAN DIVISION**

CONSTANCE SWANSTON AND  
WOMEN'S ELEVATED SOBER LIVING,  
LLC, AND SHANNON JONES  
Plaintiffs,

V.

CITY OF PLANO, TEXAS AND  
CITY OF PLANO BOARD OF  
ADJUSTMENT  
Defendants.

[illegible]

Civil Action No. 4:19-CV-00412-ALM

**DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO OFFER TESTIMONY BY  
CONTEMPORANEOUS TRANSMISSION**

1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 43, Defendants, the City of Plano, Texas and its Board of Adjustment, file this Unopposed Motion to Offer Testimony by Contemporaneous Transmission as follows:

2. Robert Miller (“Mr. Miller”) is listed as a witness who may be called by both Plaintiffs and by Defendants to testify at trial on this matter. [Dkt. 75-4; 75-5]. Mr. Miller does not wish to testify in person because of concerns about the Novel Coronavirus. Mr. Miller is 68 years old, placing him in the high-risk category with regard to COVID-19. Plaintiffs do not object to Mr. Miller testifying by contemporaneous transmission.

3. Patricia Morgan (Ms. Morgan) is listed as a witness who may be called by both Plaintiffs and by Defendants to testify at trial on this matter. [Dkt. 75-4; 75-5]. Ms. Morgan does not wish to testify in person because of concerns about the Novel Coronavirus. Ms. Morgan is 70 years old, and has asthma, placing her in the high-risk category with regard to COVID-19. Plaintiffs do not object to Ms. Morgan testifying by contemporaneous transmission.

4. “For good cause in compelling circumstances and with appropriate safeguards, the court may permit testimony in open court by contemporaneous transmission from a different location.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 43 (a). Mr. Miller’s and Ms. Morgan’s concerns about the transmission of the Novel Coronavirus are good cause to permit them to testify by contemporaneous electronic transmission. The safeguards in place are well-known to this Court, having conducted numerous hearings via video application due to the pandemic.

**REQUESTED RELIEF**

6. Defendants respectfully request that, if either party chooses to call Mr. Miller or Ms. Morgan as witnesses at trial, that Mr. Miller and Ms. Morgan be permitted to testify via contemporaneous electronic transmission. Defendants’ counsel will coordinate with Court staff to implement the Court’s preferred method of testimony with the appropriate safeguards recommended by the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

**ABERNATHY, ROEDER, BOYD &  
HULLETT, P.C.**

*/s/ Charles J. Crawford*

**Charles J. Crawford**

State Bar No. 05018900

**Lucas Henry**

State Bar No. 24101901

1700 Redbud Blvd., Ste. 300

McKinney, Texas 75069

(214) 544-4000 Telephone

(214) 544-4040 Facsimile

[ccrawford@abernathy-law.com](mailto:ccrawford@abernathy-law.com)

[lhenry@abernathy-law.com](mailto:lhenry@abernathy-law.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS**

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

I certify that I conferenced with counsel for Plaintiffs, and that Plaintiffs are not opposed to the relief requested in this Motion.

/s/ Lucas C. Henry  
Lucas C. Henry

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was served on all counsel of record on January 29, 2021.

/s/ Charles J. Crawford  
Charles Crawford